Post-HF methods

Post-HF method

- HF only has mean-field limit and only one determinant
- Correlation important for relative energy differences, driving chemistry
 - Reaction energies
 - Barrier heights
- Correlation: "How does having a single electron here impact all other electrons?"
 - Often understood as expansion in excitations
- Different approaches
 - Full Configuration Interaction (FCI)
 - Perturbative: Moller-Plesset (MPn)
 - Truncated: Coupled Cluster (e.g. CCSD)
 - Multi-reference Methods

Full Configuration Interaction



- Expansion in excited determinants of the HF solution
- Find coefficients c* by minimizing energy subject to normalization
- Exact iff all orders are included and we have a complete basis set, otherwise upper limit
 - Unoccupied orbitals need to be represented well
- Inefficient: number of determinants quickly becomes too large
- Split into two regimes:
 - "Dynamic/weak correlation": c₀ dominates, i.e. HF is a good approximation
 - "Static/strong correlation": otherwise, requires multi-reference methods
- Yields energies, new wave function, variational

 $\psi\equiv\Psi_{\rm HF}$

Configuration Interaction



- Finite truncation after first orders
 - Here "Configuration Interaction Singles Doubles"
- No size consistency
 - E(A+B at infinite separation) != E(A) + E(B)
- Yields energies, new wave functions, variational

Møller-Plesset Perturbation Theory

$$E_{\rm MP2,c} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a < b}^{\rm occ} \sum_{r < s}^{\rm virt} \frac{|\langle ab || rs \rangle|^2}{\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b}$$

- Include correlation as perturbation up to order n
 - Zeroth order is Hartree-Fock
- Does not necessarily converge (or behave well)
- Comparably simple method, common for weak correlation
- Higher orders possible, yet much more expensive and not quite better
- Yields energies, no new wave functions, not variational, size-consistent

$$\Psi_{\rm CCSD} = \exp(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2)\Psi_{\rm HF}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 = \sum_{a}^{\text{occ vir}} \sum_{r}^{\text{vir}} t_a^r \hat{a}_r^\dagger \hat{a}_a$$
$$\hat{T}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ab}^{\text{occ vir}} \sum_{rs}^{\text{vir}} t_{ab}^{rs} \hat{a}_r^\dagger \hat{a}_s^\dagger \hat{a}_a \hat{a}_b$$

- T_1 are all single excitations, T_2 all double, ...
- Taylor expansion of exp yields combinations and relation between amplitudes *t* and FCI coefficients *c*
- Rearrange all terms from FCI, formally equivalent if all excitations would be included
- Common variants: CCSD (singles doubles), CCSD(T) (singles doubles with perturbative triples)
- Methods beyond CCSD(T) are rare
- CCSD(T) is often called the "gold standard" for weak correlation
- Yields energies, new wave function, not variational, size consistent

Depends on number of basis functions n (ignoring screening & implementation tricks for now)

| DFT | O(n³) |
|---------|---------------------|
| HF | O(n⁴) |
| MP2 | O(n ⁵) |
| MP3 | O(n ⁶) |
| MP4 | O(n ⁷) |
| CCSD | O(n ⁶) |
| CCSD(T) | O(n ⁷) |
| CCSDT | O(n ⁸) |
| CCSDTQ | O(n ¹⁰) |
| FCI | O(n!) |